

# **Biomath Communications**

www.biomathforum.org/biomath/index.php/conference

# Investigations on the G Family with Baseline Burr XII Cumulative Sigmoid

Nikolay Kyurkchiev, Anton Iliev, Asen Rahnev

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics University of Plovdiv Paisii Hilendarski 24 Tzar Asen Str., 4000 Plovdiv, Bulgaria

e-mails: nkyurk@uni-plovdiv.bg, aii@uni-plovdiv.bg, assen@uni-plovdiv.bg

**Abstract.** In this paper we study the one–sided Hausdorff approximation of the shifted Heaviside step function by a class of the Zubair–G family of cumulative lifetime distribution with baseline Burr XII c.d.f. The estimates of the value of the best Hausdorff approximation obtained in this article can be used in practice as one possible additional criterion in "saturation" study.

As an illustrative examples we consider the fitting of the new model using experimental oil palm data [1], [2] and "cancer data" [21], [22].

Numerical examples, illustrating our results are presented using programming environment CAS Mathematica.

AMS Subject Classification: 68N30, 41A46

**Keywords:** Zubair–G family of cumulative lifetime distribution, Zubair–G Family with baseline Burr XII (cdf), Heaviside function, Hausdorff approximation, upper and lower bounds

Citation: Nikolay Kyurkchiev, Anton Iliev, Asen Rahnev, Investigations on the G Family with Baseline Burr XII Cumulative Sigmoid, Biomath Communications 5, pp. 101-113, https://doi.org/10.11145/bmc.2018.12.303

## 1 Introduction

In [3], a new family of lifetime distributions, called the Zubair–G family of distributions is introduces.

The new family is defined by the following cumulative distribution function (cdf)

$$F(t;\lambda) = \frac{e^{\lambda G^2(t)} - 1}{e^{\lambda} - 1},\tag{1}$$

where  $\lambda > 0$ .

If, G is the (cdf) of the baseline model, then the distribution function (1) will be the (cdf) of the Zubair–G family.

Some comments on a Zubair-G Family of cumulative lifetime distributions with baseline Weibull (cdf) can be found in [4].

For example, if G(t) be (cdf) of the Burr XII distribution given by

$$G(t) = 1 - \frac{1}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{t}{b}\right)^{c}\right)^{k}}$$
(2)

then the (cdf) of the Z–Burr distribution has the form

$$F(t) = \frac{e^{\lambda \left(1 - \frac{1}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{t}{b}\right)^c\right)^k}\right)^2} - 1}{e^{\lambda} - 1}$$
(3)

where a > 0 and b > 0.

We consider the following class of this family with application to the population dynamics and debugging theory:

$$M(t) = \frac{e^{\lambda \left(1 - \frac{1}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{t}{b}\right)^{c}\right)^{k}}\right)^{2}} - 1}{e^{\lambda} - 1},$$
(4)

with

$$t_0 = b \left( \left( \frac{1}{1 - \sqrt{\frac{1}{\lambda} \ln \frac{e^{\lambda} + 1}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} - 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{c}}; \quad M(t_0) = \frac{1}{2}.$$
 (5)

In this note we study the Hausdorff approximation of the *shifted Heaviside step function* 

$$h_{t_0}(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t < t_0, \\ [0,1], & \text{if } t = t_0, \\ 1, & \text{if } t > t_0 \end{cases}$$

by this family.

**Definition 1.** [5] The Hausdorff distance (the H-distance)  $\rho(f,g)$  between two interval functions f, g on  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , is the distance between their completed graphs F(f) and F(g) considered as closed subsets of  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ . More precisely,

$$\rho(f,g) = \max\{\sup_{A \in F(f)} \inf_{B \in F(g)} ||A - B||, \sup_{B \in F(g)} \inf_{A \in F(f)} ||A - B||\},\$$

wherein ||.|| is any norm in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , e. g. the maximum norm  $||(t,x)|| = \max\{|t|, |x|\}$ ; hence the distance between the points  $A = (t_A, x_A), B = (t_B, x_B)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is  $||A - B|| = \max(|t_A - t_B|, |x_A - x_B|)$ .

As an illustrative example we consider the fitting the new model against experimental oil palm data [1], [2].

#### 2 Main Results

The one-sided Hausdorff distance d between the function  $h_{t_0}(t)$  and the sigmoid - ((4)-(5)) satisfies the relation

$$M(t_0 + d) = 1 - d.$$
 (6)

The following theorem gives upper and lower bounds for d**Theorem**. Let

$$p = -\frac{1}{2},$$

$$q = 1 + \frac{ck\lambda(1+e^{\lambda})\sqrt{\frac{1}{\lambda}\ln\frac{e^{\lambda}+1}{2}}\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{1}{\lambda}\ln\frac{e^{\lambda}+1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{k+1}{k}}}{b(e^{\lambda}-1)} \times \left(\left(\frac{1}{1-\sqrt{\frac{1}{\lambda}\ln\frac{e^{\lambda}+1}{2}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}-1\right)^{\frac{c-1}{c}},$$

$$(7)$$

r = 2.1q.

For the one-sided Hausdorff distance d between  $h_{t_0}(t)$  and the sigmoid ((4)-(5)) the following inequalities hold for:  $q > \frac{e^{1.05}}{2.1}$ 

$$d_l = \frac{1}{r} < d < \frac{\ln r}{r} = d_r. \tag{8}$$

**Proof.** Let us examine the function:

$$F(d) = M(t_0 + d) - 1 + d.$$
(9)

From F'(d) > 0 we conclude that function F is increasing. Consider the function

$$G(d) = p + qd. \tag{10}$$

From Taylor expansion we obtain  $G(d) - F(d) = O(d^2)$ . Hence G(d) approximates F(d) with  $d \to 0$  as  $O(d^2)$  (see Fig. 1). In addition G'(d) > 0. Further, for  $q > \frac{e^{1.05}}{2.1}$  we have  $G(d_l) < 0$  and  $G(d_r) > 0$ .

This completes the proof of the theorem.



Figure 1: The functions F(d) and G(d) for b = 0.05; c = 1.9; k = 1.6;  $\lambda = 0.9$ .

#### **3** Numerical examples.

The model ((4)–(5)) for b = 0.05; c = 1.9; k = 1.6;  $\lambda = 0.9$ ,  $t_0 = 0.0635798$  is visualized on Fig. 2.

From the nonlinear equation (6) and inequalities (8) we have: d = 0.0860937,  $d_l = 0.0406867$ ,  $d_r = 0.130273$ .

The model ((4)–(5)) for b = 0.03; c = 1.91; k = 1.5;  $\lambda = 0.85$ ,  $t_0 = 0.0398088$  is visualized on Fig. 3.

From the nonlinear equation (6) and inequalities (8) we have: d = 0.0668717,  $d_l = 0.0268802$ ,  $d_r = 0.0972085$ .

The model ((4)–(5)) for b = 0.01; c = 1.95; k = 2;  $\lambda = 0.95$ ,  $t_0 = 0.0107492$  is visualized on Fig. 4.

From the nonlinear equation (6) and inequalities (8) we have: d = 0.0228,  $d_l = 0.00664579$ ,  $d_r = 0.0333205$ .



Figure 2: The model ((4)–(5)) for b = 0.05; c = 1.9; k = 1.6;  $\lambda = 0.9$ ,  $t_0 = 0.0635798$ ; H–distance d = 0.0860937,  $d_l = 0.0406867$ ,  $d_r = 0.130273$ .



Figure 3: The model ((4)–(5)) for b = 0.03; c = 1.91; k = 1.5;  $\lambda = 0.85$ ,  $t_0 = 0.0398088$ ; H–distance d = 0.0668717,  $d_l = 0.0268802$ ,  $d_r = 0.0972085$ .



Figure 4: The model ((4)–(5)) for b = 0.01; c = 1.95; k = 2;  $\lambda = 0.95$ ,  $t_0 = 0.0107492$ ; H–distance d = 0.0228,  $d_l = 0.00664579$ ,  $d_r = 0.0333205$ .

From the above examples, it can be seen that the proven estimates (see Theorem) for the value of the Hausdorff approximation is reliable when assessing the important characteristic - "saturation".

## 4 Applications

#### 4.1 **Population Dynamics**

Consider the model:

$$M(t) = \omega \frac{e^{\lambda \left(1 - \frac{1}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{t}{b}\right)^{c}\right)^{k}}\right)^{2}} - 1}{e^{\lambda} - 1}.$$
 (11)

The model (11) based on the data of Table 1 for the estimated

| Year | W eight | The appropriate fitting by function (11) |
|------|---------|--|
| 4    | 11.78   | 11.6502                                  |
| 5    | 18.43   | 18.6774                                  |
| 6    | 25.21   | 25.2094                                  |
| 7    | 30.78   | 30.2353                                  |
| 8    | 33.03   | 33.6174                                  |
| 9    | 35.66   | 35.7011                                  |
| 10   | 36.96   | 36.9164                                  |
| 11   | 37.97   | 37.6026                                  |
| 12   | 38.04   | 37.9832                                  |
| 13   | 39.20   | 38.1926                                  |
| 14   | 36.50   | 38.3075                                  |
| 15   | 37.21   | 38.3706                                  |
| 16   | 39.97   | 38.4054                                  |
| 17   | 38.45   | 38.4248                                  |

Table 1: The oil palm yield data [1], [2]

parameters:

 $\omega = 38.45; \ b = 15.765; \ c = 1.53476; \ k = 11.8289; \ \lambda = 2.12748$ 

is plotted on Fig. 5.

For the predictive power (PP) criterion:

$$PP = \sum_{i=4}^{17} \left(\frac{M(t_i) - y_i}{y_i}\right)^2$$

we find PP = 0.0066476.



Figure 5: The model M(t) based on the data of Table 1.

#### 4.2 Tumor Growth

Here we give an application of the new cumulative sigmoid for analysis of the following "cancer data" (for some details see, [21], [22]).

| days | 4     | 7     | 10    | 12    | 14    | 17   | 19    | 21  |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|-----|
| R(t) | 0.415 | 0.794 | 1.001 | 1.102 | 1.192 | 1.22 | 1.241 | 1.3 |

Table 2: The "cancer data" [21], [22].

Consider the model (11) based on the data of Table 2 for the estimated parameters:

 $\omega = 1.39576; \ c = 1.45283; \ b = 4.45283; \ k = 1.45079; \ \lambda = 0.475223$  is plotted on Fig. 6.

For the predictive power (PP) criterion:

$$PP = \sum_{i} \left(\frac{M(t_i) - y_i}{y_i}\right)^2$$



Figure 6: The model M(t) based on the "cancer data".

we find PP = 0.00107871.

From the conducted experiments it can be concluded that the examined model can be successfully used in the field of Population dynamics.

For some approximation, computational and modelling aspects, see [6]–[17].

Some software reliability models, can be found in [18]–[20].

#### Acknowledgments.

This work has been supported by D01-205/23.11.2018 National Scientific Program "Information and Communication Technologies for a Single Digital Market in Science, Education and Security (ICTinSES)", financed by the Ministry of Education and Science, Bulgaria.

#### References

- A. Khamis, Z. Ismail, K. Haron, A. Muhammad, Nonlinear growth models for modeling oil palm yield growth, Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 1(3) 2005, 225–233.
- [2] S. Foong, Potential evapotranspiration, potential yield and leaching losses of oil palm, In: Basiron et al. (Eds), International Palm Oil Conference, Progress, Properties and Challenges Towards the 21-st Century, Module I: Agriculture, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, 9–14 September 1991, PORIM 1991, 105–119.
- [3] Z. Ahmad, The Zubair–G Family of Distributions: Properties and Applications, Annals of Data Science, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s40745-018-0169-9.
- [4] N. Kyurkchiev, A. Iliev, A. Rahnev, Comments on a Zubair-G Family of Cumulative Lifetime Distributions. Some Extensions, Communications in Applied Analysis, 23(1) 2019, 1–20.
- [5] F. Hausdorff, Set Theory (2 ed.) (Chelsea Publ., New York, (1962 [1957]), Republished by AMS-Chelsea, 2005, ISBN: 978-0-821-83835-8.
- [6] N. Kyurkchiev, S. Markov, On the Hausdorff distance between the Heaviside step function and Verhulst logistic function, J. Math. Chem., 54(1) 2016, 109–119.
- [7] R. Anguelov, S. Markov, Hausdorff Continuous Interval Functions and Approximations, In: SCAN 2014 Proceedings, LNCS, ed. by J. W. von Gudenberg, Springer, Berlin, 2015.
- [8] R. Anguelov, S. Markov, B. Sendov, On the Normed Linear Space of Hausdorff Continuous Functions. In: Lirkov, I., et al. (Eds.): Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer, 3743 2006, 281–288.

- [9] R. Anguelov, S. Markov, B. Sendov, Algebraic Operations on the Space of Hausdorff Continuous Functions. In: Bojanov, B. (Ed.): Constructive Theory of Functions, Prof. M. Drinov Academic Publ. House, Sofia, 2006, 35–44.
- [10] R. Anguelov, S. Markov, B. Sendov, The Set of Hausdorff Continuous Functions - the Largest Linear Space of Interval Functions, Reliable Computing, 12 2006, 337–363.
- [11] A. Iliev, N. Kyurkchiev, S. Markov, On the Approximation of the step function by some sigmoid functions, Mathematics and Computers in Simulation, 133 2017, 223–234.
- [12] S. Markov, A. Iliev, A. Rahnev, N. Kyurkchiev, A Note on the Three–stage Growth Model, Dynamic Systems and Applications, 28(1) 2019, 63–72.
- [13] S. Markov, A. Iliev, A. Rahnev, N. Kyurkchiev, A Note On the n-stage Growth Model. Overview, Biomath Communications, 5(2) 2018.
- [14] N. Kyurkchiev, S. Markov, Sigmoid functions: Some Approximation and Modelling Aspects, LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing, Saarbrucken, 2015, ISBN 978-3-659-76045-7.
- [15] R. Anguelov, N. Kyurkchiev, S. Markov, Some properties of the Blumberg's hyper-log-logistic curve, BIOMATH, 7(1) 2018, 8 pp.
- [16] N. Kyurkchiev, A. Iliev, Extension of Gompertz-type Equation in Modern Science: 240 Anniversary of the birth of B. Gompertz, LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing, 2018, ISBN: 978-613-9-90569-0.
- [17] N. Kyurkchiev, A. Iliev, S. Markov, Some Techniques for Recurrence Generating of Activation Functions: Some Modeling and Approximation Aspects, LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing, 2017, ISBN: 978-3-330-33143-3.

- [18] N. Pavlov, A. Iliev, A. Rahnev, N. Kyurkchiev, Some software reliability models: Approximation and modeling aspects, LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing, 2018, ISBN: 978-613-9-82805-0.
- [19] V. Kyurkchiev, A. Malinova, O. Rahneva, P. Kyurkchiev, Some Notes on the Extended Burr XII Software Reliability Model, Int. J. of Pure and Appl. Math., **120**(1) 2018, 127–136.
- [20] N. Pavlov, A. Iliev, A. Rahnev, N. Kyurkchiev, Nontrivial Models in Debugging Theory (Part 2), LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing, 2018, ISBN: 978-613-9-87794-2.
- [21] M. Vinci, S. Gowan, F. Boxall, L. Patterson, M. Zimmermann, W. Court, C. Lomas, M. Mendila, D. Hardisson, S. Eccles, Advances in establishment and analysis of three–dimensional tumor spheroid–based functional assays for target validation and drug evaluation, BMC Biology, **10**, 2012.
- [22] A. Antonov, S. Nenov, T. Tsvetkov, Impulsive controllability of tumor growth, Dynamic Systems and Appl., 28(1) 2019, 93–109.